



**SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P. LIMITED**  
19-13-65/A, Vidyut Nilayam, Srinivasapuram, Tirupati ([www.apspdcl.in](http://www.apspdcl.in))

**From**

The Chief General Manager,  
RAC & IPC, APSPDCL, 19-13-65/A,  
Vidyut Nilayam, Srinivasapuram,  
Tirupati – 517501.

**To**

Sri Arcot Rajmohan Raja,  
A.P.United Citizens Forum,  
1-4-407, H.L.C. Colony Extension,  
Anantapur – 04.

**Lr No.CGM/RAC&IPC/SPDCL/TPT/GM/RAC/F.ARR.Rep.(21) /D.No. 33 /24 dt. 11 -01-2024**

Sir,

Sub :- APSPDCL/TPT – RAC – Replies to objections raised by Sri Arcot Rajmohan Raja, Anantapur on ARR & Tariff Proposals - Regarding.

Ref:- Party's representation received dt.07-01-2024

\*\*\*

Referring to the objections raised on ARR and FPT filings for Retail Sale of Electricity for FY 2024-25, the reply is furnished as hereunder.

1) While welcoming the proposed Public Hearings from 29th Jan, to 31st Jan,2024 with likelihood of extending it for a day, based on necessity we suggest a separate Hearing for MYT on the subsequent day or any other day that suits the Commission.

**Reply : The separate hearing for MYT will cause time loss for the objectors as they have to appear before the Hon'ble Commission twice. However, the issue is under the purview of the Honourable APERC.**

2) The absence of proposal from DISCOM for any tariff hike for the 5th control period is welcome. But the Revenue Gap projected by DISCOMS amounting to R.13,887.28 crore should be bridged by Government subsidy and not by mounting the same on the consumers.

**Reply : The licensee has proposed ARR & Tariff for FY 2024-25 in accordance with Go.Rt.No.161, dt.15-11-2021 without taking Govt. subsidy in to account. However, the issue of Tariff Order by the Honourable APERC is likely to be after taking Govt. subsidy into account like previous years.**

3) An amount of Rs. 0.40 per Unit is already collected from the consumers under FPPCA. This is done without holding Public Hearing on the subject. The amount collected should be returned by adjusting the same in the ensuing Bills. Besides FPPCA I, II, True Up charge is also levied from consumers. This should also be returned at the earliest. The amount paid to HNPCL is likely to be imposed on the consumers as yet another True Up charge, besides likely claims of further revenue gaps, which for no reason the Commission should permit.

**Reply : The levy of FPPCA 2021-22, FPPCA 2023-24 (Provisional), Distribution True-up charges are levied in accordance with the order of the Honourable APERC for reasons stated in the filings / regulations. Hence, the request for return of charges is not justified.**

4) Planning and projection of power generation, supply, sale of surplus power, its cost, fixing the cost of power purchased from private players and other projections for short term purchases at variable costs may be done in a more professional way to avoid taxing the consumers for the faults of the concerned departments.

**Reply : The activities of planning and power generation, short term purchases etc., are done in order to meet demand in an effective manner.**

5) We expect the Commission to ensure that there will be no more impositions of FPPCAs and TRUE Ups on the consumers for none of their faults.

**Reply : FPPCA and True-ups are essential when the power purchase cost and distribution cost variations are to be recovered as per Regulations.**

6) The Commission should, in stern terms, ensure provisions for payment of subsidies and other dues, with interest for delayed payment, by Government. Strict measures are taken against ordinary consumers when the payment is not made in time. But when the Government fails to make payment in time instead of disconnecting supply and collecting penalty the burden is passed on to the innocent consumers. Interest on loan availed for Working Capital should be paid by the Government and not to be levied from the consumers.

**Reply : Under the purview of the Honourable APERC.**

7) Arrears above Rs.50,000 shown as arrears from consumers is not explained. A part of it may be due to cases pending in the court but the rest has no explanation.

**Reply : Apart from the pending court cases, the Govt. Department & local body arrears also have a major part in the arrears above Rs.50,000/-. The efforts are being made by the licensee through pursuance of concerned govt. departments & local bodies to recover the arrears.**

8) It is suggested to hasten the entire Regulatory process as election may intervene any time by virtue of which further FPPCA and True Ups may be imposed on the consumers.

**Reply : Under the purview of the Honourable APERC**

9) Our Forum is not in favour of switching over to Smart Meters. Consumers have no issue with the existing meters. Therefore there is no need for fixing new meters. It looks there is plan to impose this unnecessarily on the consumers, who may have to keep paying the cost of the meters for years. When Consumers pay for the meters where is the need to show a huge amount for procuring Smart Meter under capital expenditure? The entire activities devised under Smart Meter programme aim at favouring the private players at the cost of consumers. Therefore this plan should be scrapped at once.

**Reply : The licensee has proposed smart meters in view of the following advantages.**

- **Meter readings will be taken online on scheduled monthly dates**
- **Accurate billing will be done**
- **Consumers can closely track their usage and spend**
- **No unpaid bill because energy is prepaid**
- **No incorrect bills**
- **Future load forecasting and advance power purchase plan**

10) It is observed that only two companies have participated in the bidding for providing Smart Meters and among them one company has not evinced interest in the further processing of bidding leaving the whole process to one company. The reason behind this is worth probing.

**Reply : APSPDCL has invited open tenders through AP-e procurement portal for providing smart meters to system metering and pre-paid smart meters to consumer metering as per the guidelines issued by the MoP/Gol under RDSS . The following companies participated for pre bid meeting conducted on 17.12.2022 in respect of AMISP for smart metering project tender in APSPDCL under RDSS**

Sl. No	Firm Name
1	HPL
2	India Smart Grid Forum
3	BCITS Pvt Ltd
4	Secure Meters Ltd
5	Monti Carlo
6	Vijay Electricals Ltd
7	HLT Electricals Ltd
8	Shirdi Sai Electricals Ltd

**The following firms are participated in the bidding**

S. No.	Name of the firm participated
1	M/s Shirdi Sai Electrical Limited, kadapa
2	M/s. Genus Power Solutions Private Limited, Noida
3	M/s Adani Transmission Limited, Ahmadabad

Finally, M/s Adani Transmission Limited, Ahmadabad was quoted lowest price and work was awarded after final negotiation of price. APSPDCL has already submitted the details of tender quoted price, reverse tendering price and final negotiation price as above.

11) Our Forum is not in favour of Direct Benefit Transfer plan.

