# BEFORE THE FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES IN SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED **TIRUPATI**

### On this the 26th day of June 2014

### In C.G.No:21/2014-15/Guntur Circle

#### Present

Chairperson

Sri K. Paul Sri A. Venugopal Sri T. Rajeswara Rao

Member (Accounts) Member (Legal) Sri A. Satish Kumar Member (Consumer Affairs)

Between

Sri N.Radhakrishna Murthy S/o Nagabhushanam DNo:2-32, Burripalem Road, Tenali Town -Post, Tenali Town, Guntur-Dist-522201

Complainant

Respondents

#### And

- 1. Assistant Engineer/Operation/D-3/Tenali
- 2. Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation/Town/Tenali
- 3. Divisional Engineer/Operation/Tenali
- 4. Superintending Engineer/Operation/Guntur

\*\*\*

Sri N.Radhakrishna Murthy, S/o Nagabhushanam resident of DNo:2-32, Burripalem Road, Tenali Town -Post, Tenali Town, Guntur-Dist-522201 herein called the complainant, in his complaint dt:23-05-2014 filed in the Forum on dt:23-05-2014 under clause 5 (7) of APERC regulation 1/2004 read with section 42 (5) of I.E.Act 2003 has stated that

1. He is one among the 12 numbers HT consumer running Rice and Dall mills in Buripalem Road of Tenali Town.

2. The line feeding their HT services is suffering considerable interruptions of power supply and they are put to troubles on account of the said

interruptions.

3. Requested the Forum to pass orders on the respondents to minimize the

interruptions.

Notices were served upon the respondents duly enclosing a copy of complaint.

The respondent-1 i.e. the Assistant Engineer/Operation/D-3/Tenali in his

written submission dt:05-06-2014, received in this office on 07-06-2014 stated

that:

1. The complainant of Sri N.Radha Krishna Murthy SCNo.HT 778, DNo:2-23,

Rice and Dall mill, Burripalem road, Tenali reported for supply interruptions

due to over load of line. On 28-05-2014 I have inspected the premises and

observed that the Rice & Dall mill supply is feeding from Tenali Town 11KV

local 10th feeder, which is emanating from Tenali SS-11 to Tenali Town. The

supply interruptions made only on schedule load reliefs and emergency load

reliefs but not feeder over load tripppings. The maximum loads recorded on

said feeder in the month of January 2014 is 59 Amps, February 2014 is 60A.

March 2014 is 52A, April 2014 is 62A, May 2014 is 89A only. There is no over

load trippings noticed.

**Findings of the Forum:** 

1. The grievance of he complainant is that he is a HT consumer with service

No.HT778 GNT at Tenali Town and his industry above is suffering with

frequent interruptions on the 11KV feeder supplying their industry apart from

other 11 industries and the reason suspected the over loading of the feeder

resulting in frequent trippings. Requested the Forum to order the respondents to

minimize the interruptions.

Page 2 of 4

- 2. The respondent-1 i.e. the AAE/Opn/D-3/Tenali in his reply stated that the said HT service of the complainant is a Rice and Dall mill in Buripalem road of Tenali. On 28-05-2014 he had inspected the premises and observed that the supply to the said industry is extended from 11KV 10<sup>th</sup> feeder of Tenali town emanating from SS-2 Tenali and the said interruptions is only on account of scheduled load reliefs and emergency load reliefs, but not due to over loading. The maximum load reached on the said feeder was 59 Amps in January 2014 and in May it was 89 Amps and hence there is no over loading on the feeder.
- 3. The respondent mentioned the maximum current on the feeder as 89 Amps during May 2014 i.e. the month during which the complaint was made in the Forum during Adalath at Tenali. But he did not mentioned the size of the conductor of the said feeder, but however since the cause of trippings is attributed to the load reliefs of general and emergency nature, but not due to over loading of the feeder as stated by the respondents it is understood that the feeder is a mixed one.
- 4. The complainant along with other consumers on the same feeder may come forward to have an exclusive feeder for their industries to pay the necessary charges towards erection of exclusive feeder along with the terminal equipment, bay etc. as demanded by the field conditions besides providing suitable way leave for erection of such feeder exclusively.
- 5. The respondents may advise the complainant and the surrounding industrialists accordingly and do the needful at their option.

In view of the above, the Forum passed the following order.

## **ORDER**

The complainant is advised that he shall come forward along with his coindustrialists of the area with a proposal to pay the necessary charges towards laying of separate feeder exclusive for their industries and the associated equipment erection besides providing necessary way leave for erection of such line in their favour and render a letter of consent to that effect to the Divisional Engineer/Operation/Tenali.

Accordingly the case is allowed in full and disposed off

If aggrieved by this order, the complainant may represent to the Vidyut Ombudsman, 1st Floor, 33/11KV Sub-Station, Hyderabad Boat Club Lane, Lumbini Park, Hyderabad-500063, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Signed on this, the 26th day of June 2014.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member (Legal) Member (C.A) Member (Accounts) Chairperson

# Forwarded by Orders

# **Secretary to the Forum**

To

The Complainant

The Respondents

Copy to the General Manager/CSC/Corporate office/Tirupati for pursuance in this matter.