# BEFORE THE FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES IN SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED TIRUPATI

## On this the 25th day of July 2013

### In C.G.No: 102/2013-14/Tirupati Circle

#### Present

Sri K. Paul Chairperson

Sri A. VenugopalMember (Accounts)Sri T. Rajeswara RaoMember (Legal)

Sri A. Sateesh Kumar Member (Consumer Affairs)

#### Between

Sri. A.Balasundaram Dno:2-77., Beri Street, Nagari Post, Nagari Town, Chittoor-Dist-517590 Complainant

Respondents

#### And

- 1. Assistant Accounts Officer/ERO/Nagari
- 2. Assistant Engineer/Operation/Town/Nagari
- 3. Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation/Nagari
- 4. Divisional Engineer/Operation/Puttur
- 5. Superintedning Engineer/Operation/Tirupati

\*\*\*

Sri. A.Balasundaram resident of Dno:2-77., Beri Street, Nagari Post, Nagari Town, Chittoor-Dist-517590 herein called the complainant, in his complaint dt:22-06-2013 filed in the Forum on dt:22-06-2013 under clause 5 (7) of APERC regulation 1/2004 read with section 42 (5) of I.E. Act 2003 has stated that

- He is one of the agl. consumers having services 283, 287, 327, 351, 412,
   546 and 626 of Nagari distribution under LT-V (B).
- 2. He is paying the CC.Charges regularly in installments and there were no arrears till the end of the year 2012-13.

- 3. He received a demand notice for the month of March 2013 which is a double entry and on 12-03-2013 he requested the AAO/ERO/Nagari to drop the demand for the month of March 2013.
- 4. The AAO in his letter dt:28-03-2013 denied his request and his doubt about the billing was not at all clarified even by the DE/Opn/Puttur, SAO/Opn/Tirupati till to the date of complaint.
- 5. In the bill dt:07-06-2013 an amount of Rs.605/- was added as arrears from 1<sup>st</sup> April and amount of Rs.4.176 was added as additional surcharges and the same was paid on 12-06-2013 to avoid further charges.

The total amount paid from Apr'12 – Mar'13 = Rs.5634/-Bimonthly demand Rs.875 + 60/- = Rs.935/-Six installments Rs. 935 x 60/- = Rs.5610/-Excess paid = Rs.24/-

6. In respect of service number 2712 for the month of November and December 2012 the respondents have added capacitor surcharge and on his representation to deduct the same the AE/Opn/recommended for withdrawal, but the same was appeared again in the bill dt:09-03-2013 for an amount of Rs.1789/- and Rs. 2240/- was not adjusted.

Notices were served upon the respondents duly enclosing a copy of complaint.

The respondent-1, i.e. the Assistant Accounts Officer/ERO/Nagari in his written submissions dt: 01-07-2013, received in this office on 03-07-2013 stated that:

1. Sri.A.Balasundaram has complained in his notice that excess demand billed in respect of the Agriculture service No.5312203000283, 287, 327,351,412,546 and 626 of Nagari Distribution, under AGL category LT V(B) and requested to drop the demand for the month of March'13.

- 2. The billing pattern is unique and being followed though out the SPDCL in respect of AGL. The billing pattern for Agriculture is furnished below:
- 3. March'12-April'12 bill issued, with bill date on 1st of May'2012 and payable on or before 15th of May'2012.
- 4. It is very clear that bill date 1<sup>st</sup> of May'12 means the billing demand pertains to the March'12 and April'2012 and May'12& June'12 bill issued with bill date 1<sup>st</sup> of July'12 only under bi-monthly billing pattern and similarly Nov'12 & Dec'12 bill issued with bill date 1<sup>st</sup> of Dec'12, since the billing pattern has been changed to monthly during the month of Jan'13,. Under Monthly billing pattern, the bills issued during the same month only. i.e. Jan'13 bill issued during the month Jan, 2013 itself and asking to pay on or before 15<sup>th</sup> of Jan'2013.

The details of Demand and Collection for the service No.5312203000283 are furnished below perusal please and similarly all other bills of complainant were issued.

Month	Billing	Bill issued	OB	Demand	Collection	CB
	Pattern	Month				
Mar'12-	Bi-	May'12	-14.26	935.00	921.00	-0.26
April'12	Monthly					
May'12-	Bi-	July'12	-0.26	935.00	707.00	227.74
June'12	Monthly					
July'12-	Bi-	Sep'12	227.74	937.00	707.00	457.74
Aug'12	Monthly					
Sep'12-	Bi-	Nov'12	457.74	943.00	707.00	693.74
Oct'12	Monthly					
Nov'12-	Bi-	Dec'12	693.74	951.00	0.00	1644.74
Dec'12	Monthly					
Jan'13	Monthly	Jan'13	1644.74	478.00	2123.00	-0.26
Feb'13	Monthly	Feb'13	-0.26	469.00	469.00	-0.26
March'13	Monthly	March'13	-0.26	468.00	0.00	467.74

- **5.** Further, The complainant, Sri.A.Balasundaram has complained in his notice that he has paid Five (5) installments Starting with 1<sup>st</sup> installment i.e. March'12-April'12 bill issued ,with bill date on 1<sup>st</sup> of May'2012.
- 6. In this connection it is to submit that it is very clear that Sri.A.Balasundaram has paid 1<sup>st</sup> installments i.e. March'12 –April'12 during the month of May'12 which includes left over of Previous Financial year of installment of March'12 and this was explained to Sri.A.Balasundaram vide Reference 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> but he is not satisfied with the explanation given by the AAO/ERO/Nagari.
- 7. In view of above, it is to submit that there is no such excess demand billed. Hence the question of withdrawal of demand does not arise.
- 8. Further it is to submit that the complainant, Sri.A.Balasundaram has complained in his notice that capacitor surcharge was added to the service No.5312200002712 for the month of Nov'12 and Dec'12, amounting to Rs.1789/- and Rs.2240/- respectively and Assistant. Engineer/Operation/Nagari, in his letter recommended for withdrawal, but not adjusted.
- 9. In this connection it is to submit that as per Retail Supply Tariff rates and terms and conditions as mentioned in VII(3) of "Power factor apparatus and capacitor surcharge for LT".
- "in the case of LT consumer (Except LT Domestic) not covered by KVAH billing, if inspection, no capacitor is found or the capacitor already installed are found to be damaged or having defect or ceased to function, such consumer shall be liable to pay surcharge @ 25% of the monthly bill amount as per the Terms and Conditions of supply notified by the licensee".

It is to submit that the Service Connection No.2712 particulars furnished

below: SC. NO.2712, CAT: II

CMD: 10KW, CONNECTED LOAD: 6KW

INSTALLED CAPACIOTRS: 2KVAR

MAKE: HPL 3PH, 10-40APS

METER NO:16706969

It is to submit that the billing is being done based on KWH only

11. Further The ADE/O/Nagari has submitted an inspection report stating

that the SC.No.2712, Cat-II and CMD-10KW and Connected Load -6KW

and Installed Capacitors is 2 KVAR instead of 3 KVAR. Since the

installed capacitors are insufficient, the capacitor surcharge was billed,

whenever the power factor recorded below 0.95. Hence the capacitor

surcharge was not withdrawn.

Findings of the Forum

1. The grievance of the complainant is that the bills for his agl services were

issued on high side and also the capacitor surcharge was levied

unnecessarily and was not withdrawn though was recommended by the

AE concerned.

2. The respondents reported that the excess demand as mentioned by the

complainant is not actually excess, but and hence cannot be withdrawn.

3. Regarding levy of capacitor surcharge the respondents mentioned that in

respect of service number 2712 which is under LT-II category and the

amounts of Rs.1789/- and Rs.2240/- were added for the months of

November and December 2012 respectively as per retail tariff rates and

Terms and Conditions of supply wherein it was stated that the capacitor

surcharge is to be levied for the services (Excepting LT domestic) where

the billing is done on KWH and there are no capacitors, the capacitor

5

C.G.No:102 / 2013-14 / Tirupati Circle

already installed are found to be damaged or having defect or ceased to function, such consumer shall be liable to pay surcharge @ 25% of the monthly bill amount.

- 4. Since it was established that the capacitors installed were of 2KVAR capacity instead of 3KVAR for the connected load of 6KW and since the service is under LT-II category the capacitor surcharge is to be levied for insufficiency of the rating.
- 5. The action of the respondents in both the items appears to be in order and hence there is no need to revise the bills.

In view of the above, the Forum passed the following order.

#### **ORDER**

"No separate order need to be issued".

Accordingly the case is allowed and disposed off

If aggrieved by this order, the complainant may represent to the Vidyut Ombudsman, O/o the APERC, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Signed on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of July 2013.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member (Legal) Member (C.A) Member (Accounts) Chairperson

## **Forwarded by Orders**

#### **Secretary to the Forum**

To

The Complainant

The Respondents

Copy submitted to the Honourable Ombudsman, APERC, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004.

Copy to the General matter.	Manager/CSC/Corporate	office/Tirupati	for pursuance	e in this