

BEFORE THE FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES
OF SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED
TIRUPATI

This the 27th day of August 2012

C.G.No:96/2012-13/ Tirupati Circle

Present

Sri K. Paul
Sri A.Venugopal
Sri T.Rajeswara Rao
Sri K. Rajendra Reddy

Chairperson
Member (Accounts)
Member (Legal)
Member (Consumer Affairs)

Between

Sri. D.Munikrishna
S/o Veera Swami
Vepanapalli (Village),
Thenepalli (Post),
Puthalapattu (Mandal),
Chittoor-Dist

Complainants

And

1. Assistant Engineer/Operation/Puthalapattu
2. Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation/Rurals-II/Chittoor
3. Divisional Engineer/Operation/Town/Chittoor

Respondents

Sri. D.Munikrishna, S/o Veera Swami resident of Vepanapalli (Village),
Thenepalli (Post), Puthalapattu (Mandal), Chittoor-Dist herein called the
complainants, in his complaint dt:23-5-2012 filed in the Forum on dt:23-5-2012 under
clause 5 (7) of APERC regulation 1/2004 read with section 42 (5) of I.E.Act 2003 had
stated that

1. One number 11KV line and LT lines along with a transformer are existing
in the premises of school at Vepanapalli.
2. Requested for shifting of the above from the premises of the school.

Notices were served upon the respondents duly enclosing a copy of complaint.

{PAGE }

C.G.No:96/2012-13/Tirupati Circle

The respondent-1 i.e. the Assistant Engineer/Operation/Puthalapattu in his written submissions dt:18-6-2012 received in this office on dt:23-08-2012 stated that

1. He inspected the location and advised the complainant to give his willingness letter to bare the cost of the shifting of 11KV line under DC works, but the consumer was not willing to pay the charges.
2. Hence the work is not taken up.

Findings of the Forum:

1. The grievance of the complainant is about shifting of lines and transformer from the premises of the school at free of cost.
2. The respondents reported that the work can be taken up only under deposit contributory (DC) scheme for which the beneficiary has to bear the cost of the estimate and the complainant did not come forward to bear the expenditure and hence the proposal is dropped.
3. The contention of the respondent that the work is to be taken up under DC scheme is accepted.
4. The complainant if he wants the said work of shifting the lines and the transformer has to bear the cost of the shifting besides providing necessary way leave for the rerouting of the lines and for erection of the transformer.

In view of the above, the Forum passed the following order.

ORDER

The complainant is advised to render his willingness to pay the estimated amount towards the shifting of the lines and transformer as he requested if he prefers for.

{PAGE }

Accordingly the case is allowed and disposed off

If aggrieved by this order, the complainant may represent to the Vidyut Ombudsman, O/o the APERC, 5th floor, Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Signed on this the 27th day of August 2012.

Sd/-
Member (Legal)

Sd/-
Member (C.A)

Sd/-
Member (Accounts)

Sd/-
Chairperson

Forwarded by Orders

Secretary to the Forum

To
The Complainant
The Respondents
Copy submitted to the Honourable Ombudsman, APERC, 5th floor, Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004.
Copy to the General Manager/CSC/Corporate office/Tirupati for pursuance in this matter.

{PAGE }

Filename: Order.96
Directory: E:\CGRF Cases\Cases 2012-13\Tirupati\TPT 96
Template: C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Application
Data\Microsoft\Templates\Normal.dot
Title:
Subject:
Author: CGRF
Keywords:
Comments:
Creation Date: 17/08/12 10:14:00 AM
Change Number: 358
Last Saved On: 27/08/12 4:59:00 PM
Last Saved By: CGRF
Total Editing Time: 137 Minutes
Last Printed On: 23/11/13 5:42:00 PM
As of Last Complete Printing
Number of Pages: 3
Number of Words: 514 (approx.)
Number of Characters: 2,932 (approx.)