BEFORE THE FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES OF SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED TIRUPATI

On this the 23rd day of February 2013

C.G.No: 273/2012-13/ Guntur Circle

Present

Sri. K. Paul Sri. A. Venugopal Sri. T. Rajeswara Rao Vacant Chairperson Member (Accounts) Member (Legal) Member (Consumer Affairs)

Between

Sri. Kambala Venkatadri C/o Veeraiah, Madipadu Village & Post, Atchempeta Mandal, Guntur-Dist-522410. Complainant

And

- 1. Assistant Engineer/Operation/SPDCL/Atchempeta
- 2. Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation/Sattenapalli
- 3. Divisional Engineer/Operation/Narasaraopeta

Respondents

Sri. Kambala Venkatadri, C/o Veeraiah, resident of Madipadu Village & Post, Atchempeta Mandal, Guntur-Dist-522410. herein called the complainant, in his complaint dt:13-12-2012 filed in the Forum on dt:13-12-2012 under clause 5 (7) of APERC regulation 1/2004 read with section 42 (5) of I.E.Act 2003 has stated that

- He is owning an agriculture dry land of an extent of 5.88 acres under SF No.123/4, at Madipadu village of Atchampeta mandal in Guntur district.
- 2. He got drilled a bore-well in the above said fields on 01-04-2012 and fixed a motor also.

C.G.No: 273/2012-13 /Guntur Circle

3. There is a transformer available on the west bank of his above land

and the Line man, namely Adinarayana was contacted in this regard.

4. The service is not released so far, due to which, his crops are getting

dried up and he sustained heavy loss.

5. Requested for early release of the service.

Notices were served upon the respondents duly enclosing a copy of complaint.

The respondent-2 i.e. the Assistant Divisional Engineer/Operation/

Sattenapalli in his written submission dated 11-02-2013 received in this Forum

on 14-02-2013 stated that

1. Sri.Kambala Venkatadri, S/o Veeraiah has applied for new connection to

his new bore well for the purpose of Agriculture at Madipadu village of

Atchampeta Mandal.

2. An estimate was sanctioned by the Divisional Engineer/ Operatuion/

Narsaraopeta for extension of supply to agriculture load of 5 HP for an

amount of Rs.29,080/- on 22-12-2012 and the same was informed to the

applicant to pay the Development charges and Security deposit.

3. The amounts of Rs.5000/- towards development charges and Rs.300/-

towards Security deposit were received by the Additional Assistant

Engineer/Operation/Atchampeta on 28-12-2012.

4. The service will be released as per the priority list for agl. services in

Atchampeta section whenever his turn comes duly laying the LT lines as

per the estimate.

Findings of the Forum:

1. The grievance of the complainant is that , though he applied for new

agriculture service on 01-04-2012, the service is not released so far as on

the date of the complaint ie; 12-12-2012, because of which his crops are

getting dried up and requested for early release of service.

2. The respondent-2, ie the ADE/Operation/Sattenapalli in his reply stated

that an estimate was sanctioned for an amount of Rs.29,080/- by the DE/

Operation/ Narsaraopeta on 22-12-2012, ie the 11th day of the complaint

in the Forum and on intimation, the complainant paid the Development

charges and the Security deposit on 28-12-2012, ie within a week of the

sanction which indicates that the applicant is prompt eagerly waiting for

the sanction.

3. It could be noticed that the sanction of estimate for the complainant's

service was delayed by more than 8 months. Had the estimate sanctioned

immediately, the service would have been released by May 2012 itself.

The said sanction was initiated only after the interference of the Forum

and the respondents have to compensate the complainant by paying an

amount @ Rs.50/- per day for the total days of delay.

4. Since there is fault with the respondents in sanctioning the estimate, the

respondents shall have to revise the priority list on application date

criteria and release the service to the complainant earlier to the applicant

registered his application next to him.

5. The total number of days by which the service release shall be calculated

from the date of application to its date of release duly allowing 60 days of

time in accordance with the Guaranteed Standards of Performance, if the

service is to be released duly erecting a transformer.

In view of the above, the Forum passed the following order.

ORDER

The respondents are directed that they shall

1. Release the service to the complainant duly rectifying the priority list based on the date of application and by the date on which the

applicant next to the complainant was released.

2. Remit an amount equivalent to the multiplication of number of days

counted from the date of the release of service in favour of the

complainant's successor in revised priority list and to the date of

service release favouring the complainant with Rs.50/-.

3. Report compliance to the Forum on the items 1 and 2 above of the

order with in 7 days of complying with.

Accordingly the case is allowed and disposed off

If aggrieved by this order, the complainant may represent to the Vidyut

Ombudsman, O/o the APERC, 5th floor, Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-

500004, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Signed on this the 23rd day of February 2013.

Sd/-

Sd/-

Sd/-

Member (Legal)

Member (Accounts)

Chairperson

Forwarded by Orders

Secretary to the Forum

To

The Complainant

The Respondents

Copy submitted to the Honourable Ombudsman, APERC, 5th floor,

Singarenibhavan, Redhills, Hyderabad-500004.

Copy to the General Manager/CSC/Corporate office/Tirupati for pursuance in this matter.

Page 4of 4